arXiv:1511.09338v1 [math.PR] 30 Nov 2015

A concrete approach to diagonal short time asymptotics of heat kernels associated with sub-Laplacian on CR manifolds

Hiroki Kondo *

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Abstract

A diffusion process associated with the real sub-Laplacian Δ_b, the real part of the complex Kohn-Spencer Laplacian $\Box_b$, on a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold is constructed in [6]. In this paper, we investigate diagonal short time asymptotics of the heat kernel corresponding to the diffusion process by using Watanabe's asymptotic expansion and give a representation for the asymptotic expansion of heat kernels which shows a relationship to the geometric structure.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58J65; Secondary 60J60.
Key words and phrases. CR manifold, Stochastic differential equation, Malliavin calculus, Heat kernel, Short time asymptotics.

Introduction

A diffusion process associated with the real sub-Laplacian Δ_b on a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold M is constructed and the existence of C^∞ heat kernel $p(t,x,y)$, $t \in [0,\infty)$, $x, y \in M$ for Δ_b is studied in [6]. As a question arising naturally from this result, we consider diagonal short asymptotics of the heat kernel, i.e. asymptotic behavior of $p(t,x,x)$ as t tends to zero.

In [6], the diffusion process on M is constructed via the Eells-Elworthy-Malliavin method. More precisely, a stochastic differential equation (SDE in abbreviation) on a complex unitary frame bundle is considered and its unique strong solution is projected onto M, then the resulting process on M is the desired diffusion process generated by $-\Delta_b/2$.

Since the diffusion process is obtained as a strong solution of an SDE, we can utilize the asymptotic expansion theory due to Watanabe (see [5]) to investigate asymptotic behavior of the heat kernel associated with the diffusion process. To be more precise, if the solution U_t^ε, $t \in [0,\infty)$ of the SDE which is obtained by putting a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ into the SDE defining the diffusion process has a suitable expansion in ε, then composing with the delta function and taking the generalized expectation give an asymptotic expansion of $p(\varepsilon^2, x, x)$ in ε.

* E-mail : h-kondo@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

When proceeding in this approach, the remaining task to get over is to get the asymptotic expansion of U_t^ε. On this point, Takanobu [8] gives a quite general result taking advantage of the stochastic Taylor expansion. The aim of the present paper is to show in the case of CR manifolds that the process U_t^ε can be asymptotically expanded in a way that is different from the stochastic Taylor expansion and is more close to the geometric structure of CR manifolds. Our expansion takes advantage of the fact that, in a certain local coordinate system introduced by Folland-Stein [3], behavior of CR manifolds can be seen as a perturbation of the Heisenberg group. To obtain the desired expansion, we will refine the result of [3], that is, we will determine all higher terms of the asymptotic behavior in this coordinate.

The main result in this paper is that, in the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel associated with $-\Delta_b/2$, the leading term depends only on the dimension of M, and coefficients of higher degree are represented in terms of the Takana-Webster connection on M. The result seems of interest in the point that the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion can be written in a concrete way with the expectation of Wiener functionals. This will be described through some examples.

In Section 1, we will give a review on CR manifolds, the Tanaka-Webster connection, and the diffusion process on CR manifolds constructed in [6]. Section 2 will be devoted to a study on the asymptotic behavior of the local orthonormal frame written in the Folland-Stein local coordinate. We need information of higher terms of asymptotic expansion than shown in the original literature [3]. Our main theorem which describes a relationship between the coefficient of the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel and the Tanaka-Webster connection will be shown in Section 3. Finally in Section 4 we will study examples of the asymptotic expansion, in the cases of the Heisenberg group and the CR sphere.

1. Diffusion associated with the sub-Laplacian

We begin with recalling diffusion processes associated with sub-Laplacian on CR manifolds constructed in [6].

1.1. CR manifolds

We briefly review results on CR manifolds following [2]. Let M be an oriented strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$ with $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, i.e. M is a real oriented manifold of dimension $2n+1$ together with a complex subbundle $T_{1,0}$ of the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C}TM = TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that $T_{1,0} \cap T_{0,1} = \{0\}$ and $[T_{1,0}, T_{1,0}] \subset T_{1,0}$, where $T_{0,1} = \overline{T_{1,0}}$, and a real non-vanishing 1-form θ on M which annihilates $H = \mathrm{Re}(T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1})$ such that the Levi form

$$L_\theta(Z, W) = -\sqrt{-1}\, d\theta(Z, W), \qquad Z, W : C^\infty \text{ cross section of } T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1}$$

is positive definite. Let T be the characteristic direction, that is, the unique real vector field on M such that $T \rfloor d\theta = 0$, $T \rfloor \theta = 1$, where $T \rfloor \omega$ is the interior product.

The real sub-Laplacian Δ_b on functions is defined by

$$\langle \Delta_b u, v \rangle_\theta = \langle d_b u, d_b v \rangle, \qquad u, v \in C_0^\infty(M),$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ is the L^2-inner product on functions given by

$$\langle u, v\rangle_\theta = \int_M u\overline{v}\psi, \qquad \psi = \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n, u, v \in C_0^\infty(M;\mathbb{C}) \equiv \{f + \sqrt{-1}\, g \mid f, g \in C_0^\infty(M)\},$$

and the section $d_b u$ for $u \in C^\infty(M)$ is defined by the composite of du with the natural restriction $r\colon T^*M \to H^*$.

1.2. Tanaka-Webster connection

We now review a connection on the $T_{1,0}$ due to Tanaka [9] and Webster [10], following [2].

Let $J\colon H \to H$ be the complex structure related to $(M, T_{1,0})$, which means that the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension of J is the multiplication by $\sqrt{-1}$ on $T_{1,0}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$ on $T_{0,1}$ (note that $H \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = T_{1,0} \oplus T_{0,1}$). We extend J linearly to TM by $J(T) = 0$.

Since $TM = H \oplus \mathbb{R}T = \{X + aT \mid X \in H, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$, there exists the unique Riemannian metric g_θ on M satisfying that

$$g_\theta(X, Y) = d\theta(X, JY), \quad g_\theta(X, T) = 0, \quad g_\theta(T, T) = 1, \quad X, Y \in H.$$

g_θ is called the Webster metric. We extend g_θ to $\mathbb{C}TM$ $\mathbb{C}$-bilinearly.

The Tanaka-Webster connection is the unique linear connection ∇ on M satisfying that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma^\infty(H), \quad X \in \Gamma^\infty(TM), Y \in \Gamma^\infty(H),\\
&\nabla J = 0, \quad \nabla g_\theta = 0,\\
&T_\nabla(Z, W) = 0, \quad Z, W \in \Gamma^\infty(T_{1,0}), \qquad (1.1)\\
&T_\nabla(Z, W) = 2\sqrt{-1}\, L_\theta(Z, W)T, \quad Z \in \Gamma^\infty(T_{1,0}), W \in \Gamma^\infty(T_{0,1}), \qquad (1.2)\\
&T_\nabla(T, J(X)) + J(T_\nabla(T, X)) = 0, \quad X \in \Gamma^\infty(TM),
\end{aligned}$$

where ∇_X is the covariant derivative in the direction of X and T_∇ is the torsion tensor field of ∇: $T_\nabla(Z, W) = \nabla_Z W - \nabla_W Z - [Z, W]$.

Let $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ be a local orthonormal frame for $T_{1,0}$ on an open set U, that is, Z_α is a $T_{1,0}$-valued section defined on U and $g_\theta(Z_\alpha, Z_{\overline{\beta}}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, where $Z_{\overline{\beta}} = \overline{Z_\beta}$. If we set $Z_0 = T$, $\{Z_A\}_{A=0,1,\dots,n,\overline{1},\dots,\overline{n}}$ is a local frame for $\mathbb{C}TM$. We define Christoffel symbols Γ_{AB}^C for $A, B, C = 0, 1, \dots, n, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{n}$ by

$$\nabla_{Z_A} Z_B = \sum_{C \in \{0,1,\dots,n,\overline{1},\dots,\overline{n}\}} \Gamma_{AB}^C Z_C.$$

Christoffel symbols satisfy the following:

$$\Gamma_{AB}^C = 0, \quad \text{unless } (B, C) \in \{(\beta, \gamma), (\overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}); \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, n\}, \qquad (1.3)$$

$$\Gamma_{A\beta}^\gamma + \Gamma_{A\overline{\gamma}}^{\overline{\beta}} = 0, \quad \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, n, \quad A = 0, 1, \dots, n, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{n}. \qquad (1.4)$$

1.3. Diffusion process

A diffusion process $\mathbb{X} = \{(\{X(t)\}_{t\geq 0}, P_x); x \in M\}$ generated by $-\Delta_b/2$ is constructed in [6] via the Eells-Elworthy-Malliavin method. To be more precise, let $U(T_{1,0})$ be the complex unitary bundle over M given by

$$U(T_{1,0})_x = \{r\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to (T_{1,0})_x; r \text{ is an isometry}\},$$

and $\pi\colon U(T_{1,0}) \to M$ be the natural projection. Here $\mathbb{C}^n$ is considered to be equipped by the standard metric and $T_{1,0}$ by the Webster metric.

The canonical vector fields $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ on $U(T_{1,0})$ associated with the Tanaka-Webster connection can be defined via horizontal lift (see [6] for detail).

Let $\{B_t^1, \dots, B_t^{2n}\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a $2n$-dimensional Brownian motion and let

$$B_t^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B_t^\alpha + \sqrt{-1}\, B_t^{n+\alpha}), \qquad B_t^{\overline{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B_t^\alpha - \sqrt{-1}\, B_t^{n+\alpha}), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n.$$

The SDE on $U(T_{1,0})$

$$dr_t = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n L_\alpha(r_t) \circ dB_t^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \overline{L_\alpha}(r_t) \circ dB_t^{\overline{\alpha}}, \qquad r_0 = r \in U(T_{1,0}),$$

or equivalently,

$$dr_t = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}L_\alpha(r_t) \circ dB_t^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}L_\alpha(r_t) \circ dB_t^{n+\alpha}, \qquad r_0 = r \in U(T_{1,0}),$$

has a unique strong solution $\{r_t = r(t, r, B)\}_{t\geq 0}$. Here the symbol $\circ$ stands for the Stratonovich integral.

Projecting the process r_t on M yields the desired diffusion process $\mathbb{X}$, because for any $x \in M$, the induced probability measures on the space of continuous functions $[0, \infty) \to M$ coincide for all $r \in \pi^{-1}(x)$.

We note here a local representation of $-\Delta_b/2$. Let $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ be a local orthonormal frame for $T_{1,0}$ on an open set U of M, and Γ_{AB}^C the associated Christoffel symbols as in the previous subsection. Then a straightforward calculation shows that $-\Delta_b/2$ is represented locally as follows:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_b = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (Z_\alpha Z_{\overline{\alpha}} + Z_{\overline{\alpha}} Z_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n (\Gamma_{\beta\overline{\beta}}^{\alpha} Z_\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\overline{\beta}}^{\overline{\alpha}} Z_{\overline{\alpha}}).$$

2. Folland-Stein normal coordinate

Let $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ be a local orthonormal frame around a fixed point $x \in M$. We set

$$\widehat{X}_\alpha = \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}Z_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_\alpha + Z_{\overline{\alpha}}), \qquad \widehat{X}_{n+\alpha} = \sqrt{2}\mathrm{Im}Z_\alpha = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_\alpha - Z_{\overline{\alpha}})$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ and $\widehat{X}_{2n+1} = T$. Given $u = (u^1, \dots, u^{2n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$, let $C_u \colon [0,1] \to M$ be the integral curve of the tangent vector field

$$\widehat{X}_u = \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} u^j \widehat{X}_j$$

starting at x, i.e. C_u is defined by

$$\frac{dC_u}{dt}(t) = \widehat{X}_u(C_u(t)), \qquad C_u(0) = x.$$

We set $E_x(u) = C_u(1)$. By a standard theory of ordinary differential equations, E_x defines a diffeomorphism of a neighborhood U_x of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ onto a neighborhood V_x of $x \in M$. The inverse map $E_x^{-1} \colon V_x \to U_x$ defines a local chart of M and the resulting local coordinates $u^j \colon V_x \to \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n+1$, are referred to as the Folland-Stein normal coordinates. We hereinafter regard a function on V_x as a function of $u \in U_x$ via E_x.

To describe an asymptotic behavior of vector fields with respect to these coordinates, the following asymptotic notation is introduced:

Definition 2.1. For $a \geq 1$, a function f on V_x is said to be O^a if $f(u) = O\big(\big(\sum_{j=1}^{2n} |u^j| + |u^{2n+1}|^2\big)^a\big)$ as $u = (u^1, \dots, u^{2n+1}) \to 0$. We may simply write $f = O^a$.

Define the structure functions $C_{jk}^i \colon U_x \to \mathbb{R}$, $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 2n+1$ by

$$[\widehat{X}_j, \widehat{X}_k] = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} C_{jk}^i \widehat{X}_i.$$

Theorem 2.2. *$\widehat{X}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ can be written with respect to the Folland-Stein normal coordinates $(u^j)_{j=1,\dots,2n+1}$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{X}_\alpha &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} - u^{n+\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \Big(\sum_{1 \leq \|J\| \leq a} c_{\alpha,i,J} u^J + O^{a+1} \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} + \Big(\sum_{2 \leq \|J\| \leq a} c_{\alpha,2n+1,J} u^J + O^{a+1} \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}, \\
\widehat{X}_{n+\alpha} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n+\alpha}} + u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \Big(\sum_{1 \leq \|J\| \leq a} c_{n+\alpha,i,J} u^J + O^{a+1} \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} + \Big(\sum_{2 \leq \|J\| \leq a} c_{n+\alpha,2n+1,J} u^J + O^{a+1} \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, each $c_{j,i,J}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2n+1$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n$, $\|J\| = a$, is an at most a-th degree polynomial of $\frac{d^b}{ds^b} C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(su)|_{s=0}$ for $b \leq a$.

To prove the theorem, write

$$\widehat{X}_k = \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} G_k^j \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2n$$

using the Folland-Stein normal coordinates, where G_k^j is a smooth function on U_x. Note that $G_k^j(0) = \delta_{jk}$ by definition of E_x. Since $G(u) = (G_k^j(u))_{j,k=1,\dots,2n+1}$ is an invertible matrix for every $u \in U_x$, we can define $F(u) = (F_k^j(u))_{j,k=1,\dots,2n+1}$, $F_k^j \colon U_x \to \mathbb{R}$ by $F = G^{-1}$.

Let us consider the matrices $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_k^j)_{j,k=1,\dots,2n+1}$, $\Xi = (\Xi_k^j)_{j,k=1,\dots,2n+1}$, $\mathcal{A}_k^j, \Xi_k^j \colon [-1,1] \times U_x \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_k^j(s,u) = sF_k^j(su), \qquad \Xi_k^j(s,u) = \sum_{l=1}^{2n+1} C_{lk}^j(su)u^l.$$

We use the following lemma [2, Lemma 3.3]:

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\mathcal{A} = I - \Xi\mathcal{A},$$

where I is the identity matrix of size $2n+1$.

By Taylor's theorem, we can write for $A = 0, 1, \dots,$

$$G_k^j(su) = \sum_{a=0}^{A} \frac{s^a}{a!} \sum_{|J|=a} \frac{\partial^a G_k^j}{\partial u^J}(0)u^J + \frac{s^{a+1}}{(a+1)!} \sum_{|J|=a+1} \frac{\partial^{a+1} G_k^j}{\partial u^J}(csu)u^J$$

for some $c \in (0,1)$. Here we use the notation $|J| = a$ and $u^J = u^{j_1} \cdots u^{j_a}$ for a multiple index $J = (j_1, \dots, j_a)$, $j_1, \dots, j_a \in \{1, \dots, 2n+1\}$. Since

$$\frac{\partial^{a+1} G_k^j}{\partial u^J}(csu)u^J = O^{a+1}$$

for each J with $|J| = a+1$, we have

$$G_k^j(u) = \sum_{a=0}^{A} G^{(a)}(u)_k^j + O^{a+1},$$

where

$$G^{(a)}(u)_k^j = \frac{1}{a!} \sum_{|J|=a} \frac{\partial^a G_k^j}{\partial u^J}(0)u^J.$$

To compute $G^{(a)}(u) = (G^{(a)}(u)_k^j)_{j,k=1,\dots,2n+1}$, we note that the Taylor expansion yields

$$G(su) = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} s^a G^{(a)}(u), \qquad F(su) = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} s^a F^{(a)}(u)$$

with $G^{(0)}(u) = F^{(0)}(u) = I$ and

$$\sum_{b=0}^{a} G^{(b)}(u)F^{(a-b)}(u) = 0, \quad a \geq 1, \tag{2.4}$$

because $GF = I$. We have by definition of $\mathcal{A}$ an expansion

$$\mathcal{A}(s,u) = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} s^{a+1} F^{(a)}(u).$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(a+1)F^{(a)}(u) = -\sum_{b=0}^{a-1} \Xi^{(b)}(u) F^{(a-b-1)}(u), \quad a \geq 1. \tag{2.5}$$

We have from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$G^{(a)}(u) = \sum_{b_1+\cdots+b_i=a} c_{b_1,\ldots,b_i} \Xi^{(b_1-1)}(u) \cdots \Xi^{(b_i-1)}(u)$$

for some constants $c_{b_1,\ldots,b_i}$. Therefore we have the following expression:

Proposition 2.6. *Each component $G^{(a)}(u)^j_k$ can be written as a linear combination of terms*

$$\prod_{q=1}^{r} \frac{d^{b_q}}{ds^{b_q}} C^{\alpha_q}_{\beta_q\gamma_q}(su) \Big|_{s=0} u^{m_1} \cdots u^{m_i}$$

with $b_1 + \cdots + b_r + m_1 + \cdots + m_i = a$. For small a we have

$$G^{(1)}(u)^j_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2n+1} C^j_{lk}(0) u^l,$$

$$G^{(2)}(u)^j_k = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{l,m,p=1}^{2n+1} C^j_{lp}(0) C^p_{mk}(0) u^l u^m + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{l=1}^{2n+1} \frac{d}{ds} C^j_{lk}(su) \Big|_{s=0} u^l,$$

$$G^{(3)}(u)^j_k = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l,m,p=1}^{2n+1} C^j_{lp}(0) \frac{d}{ds} C^p_{mk}(su) \Big|_{s=0} u^l u^m + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=1}^{2n+1} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} C^j_{lk}(su) \Big|_{s=0} u^l.$$

To establish Theorem 2.2, it remains to show that

$$G^{(1)}(u)^{\alpha}_{2n+1} = -u^{n+\alpha} + O^2, \qquad G^{(1)}(u)^{n+\alpha}_{2n+1} = u^{\alpha} + O^2$$

for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$. To this end, it is enough to show the following:

Proposition 2.7 ([2])**.** *For $\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, n$ we have*

$$C^{2n+1}_{\alpha\beta} = C^{2n+1}_{n+\alpha,n+\beta} = 0, \qquad C^{2n+1}_{\alpha,n+\beta} = -C^{2n+1}_{n+\alpha,\beta} = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

on U_x.

Proof. By (1.1), we have

$$0 = T_{\nabla}(Z_\alpha, Z_\beta) = \nabla_{Z_\alpha} Z_\beta - \nabla_{Z_\beta} Z_\alpha - [Z_\alpha, Z_\beta].$$

This is rewritten using Christoffel symbols as

$$[Z_\alpha, Z_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} (\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} - \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{\gamma}) Z_\gamma.$$

Taking conjugate of this equality yields

$$[Z_{\overline{\alpha}}, Z_{\overline{\beta}}] = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} (\Gamma_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}^{\overline{\gamma}} - \Gamma_{\overline{\beta}\overline{\alpha}}^{\overline{\gamma}}) Z_{\overline{\gamma}}.$$

Next we have from (1.2) that

$$2\sqrt{-1}\, L_\theta(Z_\alpha, Z_{\overline{\beta}})T = T_\nabla(Z_\alpha, Z_{\overline{\beta}}) = \nabla_{Z_\alpha} Z_{\overline{\beta}} - \nabla_{Z_{\overline{\beta}}} Z_\alpha - [Z_\alpha, Z_{\overline{\beta}}].$$

Together with $L_\theta(Z_\alpha, Z_{\overline{\beta}}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, we have

$$[Z_\alpha, Z_{\overline{\beta}}] = -\sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\overline{\beta}\alpha}^{\gamma} Z_\gamma + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}^{\overline{\gamma}} Z_{\overline{\gamma}} - 2\sqrt{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta} T, \tag{2.8}$$

$$[Z_{\overline{\alpha}}, Z_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}^{\gamma} Z_\gamma - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \Gamma_{\beta\overline{\alpha}}^{\overline{\gamma}} Z_{\overline{\gamma}} + 2\sqrt{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta} T.$$

The proposition follows by rewriting these equalities using definition of $\widehat{X}_j$. □

Theorem 2.2 has been proved from Propositions 2.6 and 2.7.

Remark 2.9. We note that an idea using exponential maps associated with vector fields is also seen in Ben Arous [1].

One of difference between [1] and the present paper is the way in which exponential maps are used. In [1], exponential maps are used to transform the solution of an SDE associated with vector fields. On the other hand, we have represented the vector fields in the Folland-Stein coordinate which is obtained by exponential maps, then in the next section we will consider an SDE in this coordinate and proceed in a perturbation argument taking advantage of Theorem 2.2.

3. Asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel

In this section, we consider the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_b u, \quad v(0,x) = f(x), \quad f \in C_b^\infty(M).$$

We assume that M is compact. Then we have by [6] that there is a function $p \in C^\infty((0,\infty) \times M \times M)$ such that

$$P_x(X(t) \in dy) = p(t,x,y)\psi(dy), \quad x, y \in M.$$

$p(t,x,y)$ is the heat kernel associated to $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_b$.

Now we state the main theorem of the paper:

Theorem 3.1. *For each $x \in M$, there exists constants $c_a^M(x)$ $(a = 0, 1, \ldots)$ such that*

$$p(t, x, x) \sim t^{-n-1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} c_a^M(x) t^a \quad \text{as } t \downarrow 0.$$

Furthermore,

(i) $c_0^M(x) = c_0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\frac{2\tau}{\sinh 2\tau})^n d\tau$, *which depends only on* n.

(ii) For $a \geq 1$, $c_a^M(x)$ *can be written as an at most* $2a$*-th degree polynomial of at most* $2a + 2$*-th derivatives of Christoffel symbols of* M.

To prove the theorem, we first note that it is sufficient to consider the problem locally. To be precise, let $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,n}$ be a local orthonormal frame for $T_{1,0}$ on a relatively compact open neighborhood V of x. Let $\widehat{X}_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$ be as in Section 2 and

$$\widehat{X}_0 = -\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} (\Gamma_{\overline{\beta}\beta}^{\alpha} Z_\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\overline{\beta}}^{\overline{\alpha}} Z_{\overline{\alpha}}) = -\sqrt{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} (\mathrm{Re}\Gamma_{\overline{\beta}\beta}^{\alpha} \widehat{X}_\alpha - \mathrm{Im}\Gamma_{\overline{\beta}\beta}^{\alpha} \widehat{X}_{n+\alpha}).$$

Let $\{((U_t)_{t\geq 0}, \widetilde{P}_y); y \in V\}$ be the minimal diffusion process on V generated by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \widehat{X}_j^2 + \widehat{X}_0$$

and $\widetilde{p}$ be the density function of $\widetilde{P}_y$ with respect to ψ. Repetition of the argument in [5, Section V.10] yields the following:

Lemma 3.2. *There exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $|p(t, x, x) - \widetilde{p}(t, x, x)| \leq c_1 \exp(-\frac{c_2}{t})$, $t > 0$.*

By Lemma 3.2, for a proof of Theorem 3.1 it suffices to show the theorem replacing p by the heat kernel associated with $\mathcal{L}$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.2 we can assume that $\widehat{X}_j$'s are vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ which satisfy the condition of Theorem 2.2 and $x = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. For simplicity we write p by the heat kernel associated with $\mathcal{L}$ in the sequel.

We write

$$\widehat{X}_j = X_j + A_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n,$$

where

$$X_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} - u^{n+\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}, \qquad X_{n+\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n+\alpha}} + u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, n$$

and

$$A_j = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} a_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n.$$

We also write

$$\widehat{X}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} b^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}.$$

For later use, for $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ we define

$$\widehat{X}_j^\varepsilon = X_j + A_j^\varepsilon, \qquad A_j^\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} a_j^{i,\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\widehat{X}_0^\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} b^{i,\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \tag{3.4}$$

with

$$a_j^{i,\varepsilon}(u) = a_j^i(\lambda_\varepsilon(u)), \qquad a_j^{2n+1,\varepsilon}(u) = \varepsilon^{-1} a_j^{2n+1}(\lambda_\varepsilon(u)), \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n,$$

$$b^{i,\varepsilon}(u) = \varepsilon b^i(\lambda_\varepsilon(u)), \qquad b^{2n+1,\varepsilon}(u) = b^{2n+1}(\lambda_\varepsilon(u)), \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n,$$

where $\lambda_\varepsilon(u) = (\varepsilon u^1, \dots, \varepsilon u^{2n}, \varepsilon^2 u^{2n+1})$ for $u = (u^1, \dots, u^{2n+1})$. Note that

$$\widehat{X}_j^\varepsilon = \widehat{X}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n+1 \quad \text{if } \varepsilon = 1.$$

Then we have from Theorem 2.2 that

$$a_j^{i,\varepsilon}(0) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n+1, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n, \quad \varepsilon \in (0,1], \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial a_j^{2n+1,\varepsilon}}{\partial u^k}(0) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2n+1, \quad \varepsilon \in (0,1]. \tag{3.6}$$

To state the next lemma, let $S^{2n} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}; |\xi| = 1\}$ be the $2n$-dimensional sphere and denote the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ by $\langle , \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}}$. We identify $T_0\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ by $\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} x^j (\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j})_0 \mapsto (x^j)_{j=1,\dots,2n+1}$.

Lemma 3.7. *For $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, we have*

$$\inf_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]} \inf_{\xi \in S^{2n}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \langle (\widehat{X}_j^\varepsilon)_0, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}}^2 + \langle [\widehat{X}_\alpha^\varepsilon, \widehat{X}_{n+\alpha}^\varepsilon]_0, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}}^2 \right) > 0,$$

where the tangent vector at 0 of a tangent vector field V is denoted by V_0. In particular, the set $\{(\widehat{X}_j)_0; i = 1, \dots, 2n\} \cup \{[\widehat{X}_\alpha, \widehat{X}_{n+\alpha}]_0\}$ spans $T_0\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ over $\mathbb{R}$,

Proof. We have $(\widehat{X}_j)_0 = (\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j})_0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ by (3.5). if we write

$$\eta_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial a_{n+\alpha}^i}{\partial u^\alpha}(0) - \frac{\partial a_\alpha^i}{\partial u^{n+\alpha}}(0), \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n,$$

we have

$$[\widehat{X}_\alpha, \widehat{X}_{n+\alpha}]_0 = 2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}} \right)_0 + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \eta_\alpha^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \right)_0$$

$$\equiv 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}\right)_0 \quad \mod \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{(\widehat{X}_j)_0; j=1,\dots,2n\}$$

by (3.6). Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n}\langle(\widehat{X}_j^\varepsilon)_0,\xi\rangle^2_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} + \langle[\widehat{X}_\alpha^\varepsilon,\widehat{X}_{n+\alpha}^\varepsilon]_0,\xi\rangle^2_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{2n}(\xi^j)^2 + \left(2\xi^{2n+1}+\varepsilon\sum_{j=1}^{2n}\eta_\alpha^j\xi^j\right)^2$$

can be seen as a continuous function on the compact set $S^{2n}\times[0,1]\ni(\xi,\varepsilon)$ which never attains zero. The lemma follows. □

For $\varepsilon>0$, let $\{U_t^\varepsilon\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the solution of SDE

$$dU_t^\varepsilon = \varepsilon\sum_{j=1}^{2n}\widehat{X}_j(U_t^\varepsilon)\circ dB_t^j + \varepsilon^2\widehat{X}_0(U_t^\varepsilon)dt, \quad U_0^\varepsilon = 0,$$

where $(B_t^1,\dots,B_t^{2n})_{t\geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion of $2n$ dimension. Then $\{U_t^1\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a diffusion process with generator $\mathcal{L}$.

Since $\widehat{X}_0,\widehat{X}_1,\dots,\widehat{X}_{2n}$ satisfies the Hörmander condition by Lemma 3.7, we have $U_t^\varepsilon\in\mathbb{D}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$, i.e. U_t^ε is nondegenerate in the sense of the Malliavin calculus. Moreover, U_t^ε and $U^1_{\varepsilon^2 t}$ have the same law. Then we can define $\delta_0(U_t^\varepsilon)$ as a generalized Wiener functionals and we have

$$p(\varepsilon^2,0,0) = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(U_t^\varepsilon)] = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(U^1_{\varepsilon^2 t})],$$

where the expectation is denoted by $\mathbb{E}$ and δ_0 is the Dirac delta function at $0\in\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$.

To investigate the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbb{E}[\delta_0(U^1_{\varepsilon^2 t})]$, we first introduce the multiple Wiener integral:

Definition 3.8. For a multiple index $J=(j_1,\dots,j_b)$, $j_1,\dots,j_b\in\{0,1,\dots,2n\}$, define B_t^J by

$$B_t^{(j_1)} = B_t^{j_1}, \qquad B_t^{(j_1,\dots,j_b)} = \int_0^t B_s^{(j_1,\dots,j_{b-1})}\circ dB_s^{j_b} \quad \text{for } b\geq 2,$$

where we set $B_t^0 = t$.

By applying the Itô formula repetitively, we have the following expansion formula (cf. [5]):

Proposition 3.9. *For $i=1,\dots,2n+1$, $a=1,2,\dots$ and $\varepsilon>0$, we have*

$$U_t^{\varepsilon,i} = \sum_{a=1}^{A}\varepsilon^a\sum_{\|J\|=a}(\widehat{X}_J u^i)(0)B_t^J + \varepsilon^{A+1}\Phi_t^{A,\varepsilon},$$

where we write $U_t^\varepsilon = (U_t^{\varepsilon,1},\dots,U_t^{\varepsilon,2n+1})$ and

$$\|J\| = b + \#\{\nu; j_\nu = 0\}, \qquad \widehat{X}_J = \widehat{X}_{j_1}\cdots\widehat{X}_{j_b}$$

for $J=(j_1,\dots,j_b)$, $j_1,\dots,j_b\in\{0,1,\dots,2n+1\}$.

Moreover, we have $\Phi_t^{A,\varepsilon}\in\mathbb{D}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ and

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,1)}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,1]}|\Phi_t^{A,\varepsilon}|^p\right]<\infty, \quad p\in(1,\infty).$$

Let us write $\Psi_t^{A,\varepsilon} = (\Psi_t^{A,\varepsilon,1}, \dots, \Psi_t^{A,\varepsilon,2n+1})$ with

$$\Psi_t^{A,\varepsilon,i} = \begin{cases} \Phi_t^{A,\varepsilon,i}, & i = 1, \dots, 2n+1, \\ \Phi_t^{A+1,\varepsilon,2n+1}, & i = 2n+1. \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{\|J\|=1} (\widehat{X}_J u^i)(0) B_t^J = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (\widehat{X}_j u^i)(0) B_t^j = \begin{cases} B_t^i, & i = 1, \dots, 2n, \\ 0, & i = 2n+1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{\|J\|=2} (\widehat{X}_J u^{2n+1})(0) B_t^J = \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n} (\widehat{X}_j \widehat{X}_k u^{2n+1})(0) B_t^{(j,k)} + (\widehat{X}_0 u^{2n+1})(0) t = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} S_t^\alpha,$$

where

$$S_t^\alpha = B_t^{(\alpha, n+\alpha)} - B_t^{(n+\alpha, \alpha)} = \int_0^t (B_s^\alpha \circ dB_s^{n+\alpha} - B_s^{n+\alpha} \circ dB_s^\alpha)$$

is Levi's stochastic area.

From this we can write

$$U_t^{\varepsilon,i} = \varepsilon B_t^i + \sum_{a=2}^{A} \varepsilon^a \varphi_t^{a,i} + \varepsilon^{A+1} \Psi_t^{A,\varepsilon,i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n,$$

$$U_t^{\varepsilon,2n+1} = \varepsilon^2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} S_t^\alpha + \sum_{a=2}^{A} \varepsilon^{a+1} \varphi_t^{a,2n+1} + \varepsilon^{A+2} \Psi_t^{A,\varepsilon,i},$$

where

$$\varphi_t^{a,i} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\|J\|=a} (\widehat{X}_J u^i)(0) B_t^J, & i = 1, \dots, 2n, \\ \sum_{\|J\|=a} (\widehat{X}_J u^{2n+1})(0) B_t^J, & i = 2n+1. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Let us write $\mathbb{X}_t = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^{2n}, \sum_{\alpha=1}^n S_t^\alpha)$. By the change of variables

$$(\varepsilon u^2, \dots, \varepsilon u^{2n}, \varepsilon^2 u^{2n+1}) \mapsto (v^1, \dots, v^{2n}, v^{2n+1}),$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\delta_0(U_1^\varepsilon)] = \varepsilon^{-2n-2} \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1 + \varepsilon \Psi_1^{1,\varepsilon})].$$

Let $F_t^\varepsilon = \mathbb{X}_t + \varepsilon \Psi_t^{1,\varepsilon}$ and let σ^ε be the Malliavin covariance of F_1^ε.

Lemma 3.11. *For any $p \in [1, \infty)$, we have $\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]} \mathbb{E}[(\det \sigma^\varepsilon)^{-p}] < \infty$, i.e. $\{F_1^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]}$ is uniformly nondegenerate.*

Proof. We write $F_t^\varepsilon = (F_t^{\varepsilon,i})_{i=1,\dots,2n+1}$. Since

$$F_t^{\varepsilon,i} = \varepsilon^{-1} U_t^{\varepsilon,i}, \qquad F_t^{\varepsilon,2n+1} = \varepsilon^{-2} U_t^{\varepsilon,2n+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n,$$

we can see that $\{F_t^\varepsilon\}_{t\geq 0}$ obeys the SDE

$$dF_t^\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \widehat{X}_j^\varepsilon(F_t^\varepsilon) \circ dB_t^j + \widehat{X}_0^\varepsilon(F_t^\varepsilon)dt,$$

where $\widehat{X}_j^\varepsilon$ is given in (3.3), (3.4).

Note that for any $a \geq 1$, $j_1, \dots, j_a \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$, the vector field

$$[\widehat{X}_{j_a}^\varepsilon, [\dots, [\widehat{X}_{j_2}^\varepsilon, \widehat{X}_{j_1}^\varepsilon] \dots]]$$

has bounded coefficients uniformly in $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ on a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. To see this, it is enough to show that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n+1\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$, $a \geq 1$, $j_1, \dots, j_a \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]} \sup_{|u|\leq\varepsilon'} |a_j^{i,\varepsilon}(u)| < \infty, \qquad \sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]} \sup_{|u|\leq\varepsilon'} \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial u^J} a_j^{i,\varepsilon}(u)\right| < \infty, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]} \sup_{|u|\leq\varepsilon'} |b^{i,\varepsilon}(u)| < \infty, \qquad \sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]} \sup_{|u|\leq\varepsilon'} \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial u^J} b^{i,\varepsilon}(u)\right| < \infty \tag{3.13}$$

hold, where $J = (j_1, \dots, j_a)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u^J} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{j_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{j_b}}$.

We show (3.12) for $i = 2n+1$ and proofs of other cases are similar or more clear. We have by definition of $a^{2n+1,\varepsilon}$ and (3.5) that

$$a_j^{2n+1,\varepsilon}(u) = \varepsilon^{-1} a_j^{2n+1}(\lambda_\varepsilon(u)) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} u^k \frac{\partial a_j^{2n+1}}{\partial u^k}(\lambda_{c\varepsilon}(u)) + 2c\varepsilon u^{2n+1} \frac{\partial a_j^{2n+1}}{\partial u^{2n+1}}(\lambda_{c\varepsilon}(u))$$

for some $c \in (0,1)$ depending on ε and u. This shows the first formula in (3.12). We also have

$$\frac{a_j^{2n+1,\varepsilon}}{\partial u^J}(u) = \varepsilon^{\|J\|-1} \frac{\partial a_j^{2n+1}}{\partial u^J}(\lambda_\varepsilon(u)),$$

which shows the second formula in (3.12).

Therefore we apply [7, Theorem 2.17] to $\{\widehat{X}_j^\varepsilon\}_{j=0,\dots,2n}$, together with Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\det \sigma^\varepsilon \leq K^{-\frac{1}{3(2n+1)}}) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\inf_{\xi\in S^{2n}} \langle \xi, \sigma^\varepsilon \xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}} \leq K^{-\frac{1}{3}}) \\ &\leq \mu_1 \exp(-\mu_2 K^{\mu_3}), \quad K \in [1, \infty)\end{aligned}$$

with positive constants μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 which are independent of ε. This implies the desired result. □

By Lemma 3.11 and the Taylor expansion

$$\delta_0(x+y) = \delta_0(x) + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^a}{a!} \sum_{|J|=a} \mathbb{E}[\partial^J \delta_0(x) y^J],$$

where $y^J = y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_a}$ and $\partial^J = \frac{\partial^a}{\partial u^{j_1} \cdots \partial u^{j_a}}$ for $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_a)$, we apply [5, Theorem V.9.4] to have

$$\varepsilon^{2n+2}\mathbb{E}[\delta_0(U_1^\varepsilon)] = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)] + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^a}{a!} \sum_{|J|=a} \mathbb{E}[\partial^J \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\Psi_1^{1,\varepsilon,J}]. \tag{3.14}$$

Since we have by definition

$$\Psi_1^{1,\varepsilon} = \sum_{a=2}^{A+1} \varepsilon^{a-2}\varphi_1^a + \varepsilon^A \Psi_1^{A+1,\varepsilon}, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\varphi_t^A = (\varphi_t^{A,1}, \ldots, \varphi_t^{A,2n+1})$, we arrive at the expression as follows:

Proposition 3.16. *We have* $\varepsilon^{2n+1}\mathbb{E}[\delta_0(U_1^\varepsilon)] = d_0 + d_1\varepsilon + d_2\varepsilon^2 + \cdots$ *with*

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &= \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)], \\ d_A &= \sum_{a=1}^{A} \frac{1}{a!} \sum_{(*)} (\widehat{X}_{J_1} u^{i_1})(0) \cdots (\widehat{X}_{J_a} u^{i_a})(0) \mathbb{E}[\partial^I \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1) B^{J_1} \cdots B^{J_a}], \quad A \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where the summation $(*)$ *is taken over the set*

$$\begin{aligned} \{(I, J_1, \ldots, J_a); I = (i_b)_{b=1,\ldots,a}, 1 \leq i_b \leq 2n+1, \|J_b\| \geq 2 + \delta_{i_b,2n+1}, \\ \|J_1\| + \cdots + \|J_a\| = A + \|I\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (3.14) and (3.15) we have the expansion

$$\varepsilon^{2n+1}\mathbb{E}[\delta_0(U_1^\varepsilon)] = d_0 + d_1\varepsilon + d_2\varepsilon^2 + \cdots$$

with $d_0 = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)]$ and

$$d_A = \sum_{a=1}^{A} \frac{1}{a!} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_a=1}^{2n+1} \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_a \geq 0 \\ k_1+\cdots+k_a = A-a}} \mathbb{E}[\partial^{(i_1,\ldots,i_a)} \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1) \varphi_1^{2+k_1,i_1} \cdots \varphi_1^{2+k_a,i_a}]$$

for $A \geq 1$. (3.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[\partial^{(i_1,\ldots,i_a)} \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1) \varphi_1^{2+k_1,i_1} \cdots \varphi_1^{2+k_a,i_a}] \\ &\quad = \sum_{\|J_1\|=l_1} \cdots \sum_{\|J_a\|=l_a} (\widehat{X}_{J_1} u^{i_1})(0) \cdots (\widehat{X}_{J_a} u^{i_a})(0) \mathbb{E}[\partial^{(i_1,\ldots,i_a)}(\mathbb{X}_1) B_1^{J_1} \cdots B_1^{J_a}] \end{aligned}$$

with $l_b = 2 + k_b + \delta_{i_b,2n+1}$. For fixed $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_a)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &k_b \geq 0, k_1 + \cdots + k_a = A - a, \|J_b\| = 2 + k_b + \delta_{i_b,2n+1} \\ &\quad \iff \|J_b\| \geq 2 + \delta_{i_b,2n+1}, \|J_1\| + \cdots + \|J_a\| = A + \|I\|, \|J_b\| = 2 + k_b + \delta_{i_b,2n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

the proposition follows. ∎

If we set $\mathbb{X}'_t = (-B^1_t, \dots, -B^{2n}_t, \sum_{\alpha=1}^n S^\alpha_t)$, It is easy to observe that, for each term appealing in (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\partial^{i_1\cdots i_a}(\mathbb{X}'_1)(-B^{J_1}_1)\cdots(-B^{J_a}_1)] \\
&\quad = (-1)^{\|I\|}(-1)^{\|J_1\|+\cdots\|J_a\|}\mathbb{E}[\partial^{i_1\cdots i_a}(\mathbb{X}_1)B^{J_1}_1\cdots B^{J_a}_1] \\
&\quad = (-1)^A\mathbb{E}[\partial^{i_1\cdots i_a}(\mathbb{X}_1)B^{J_1}_1\cdots B^{J_a}_1].
\end{aligned}$$

By considering the Brownian motion $(-B^1_t, \dots, -B^{2n}_t)$ instead of $(B^1_t, \dots, B^{2n}_t)$, it follows that

$$d_A = 0 \quad \text{if } A \text{ is odd.}$$

Using this, if we set $\varepsilon^2 = t$ and $c_A = d_{2A}$, we have the desired expansion in Theorem 3.1. In (3.17), we observe that $(\widehat{X}_{J_b}u^{i_b})(0)$ is not affected by $O^{\|J_b\|+1}$ terms, and if we note that

$$\|J_b\| \le A + \|I\| - 2(a-1) \le A + 2,$$

Theorem 2.2 shows that d_A can be written as an at most A-th degree polynomial of at most $A+2$-th derivatives of Christoffel symbols.

It remains to calculate the leading term $c_0 = d_0 = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)]$. $\mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)]$ is equal to the value at 0 of the density function of $\mathbb{X}_1 = (B^1_1, \dots, B^{2n}_1, \sum_{\alpha=1}^n S^\alpha_1)$. By [4, Théorèm 1], we see that

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{2\tau}{\sinh 2\tau}\right)^n d\tau$$

and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

Remark 3.18. By the integration-by-parts formula for generalized Wiener functionals (cf, for example [5]), we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[\partial^I\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)B^{J_1}\cdots B^{J_a}] = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\Psi] = \mathbb{E}[\Psi|\mathbb{X}_1 = 0]p_{\mathbb{X}_1}(0)$$

for a function Ψ of $\{B_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$, where $p_{\mathbb{X}_1}$ is the density function of $\mathbb{X}_1$. Ψ is generally so complicated to write down but some examples of calculation will be shown in Section 4.

4. Examples

We show two examples of calculating the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion in Theorem 3.1. One is the Heisenberg group, which is a trivial example and all higher terms of the expansion vanish. The other is the CR sphere, in which some non-trivial higher terms appear.

4.1. The Heisenberg group

Let $\mathbb{H}_n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be the $(2n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group with a coordinate system (z,t), $z = (z^1, \dots, z^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. $\mathbb{H}^n$ is a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold whose CR structure is

$$T_{1,0} = \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^n \mathbb{C}Z_\alpha, \quad Z_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} + \sqrt{-1}\,\overline{z^\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Note that $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ is a global orthonormal frame for $T_{1,0}$. It is easy to see that the associated covariant derivation is a null mapping, i.e.

$$\nabla^C_{AB} = 0 \quad \text{for any } A, B, C \in \{0, 1, \dots, n, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{n}\}.$$

The structure functions introduced in Section 2 are

$$C^{2n+1}_{\alpha,n+\alpha} = 2, \qquad C^{2n+1}_{n+\alpha,\alpha} = -2, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n$$

and other C^i_{jk}'s are zero. Then we have

$$\widehat{X}_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} - u^{n+\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}, \qquad \widehat{X}_{n+\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n+\alpha}} + u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$

therefore we see that $\varphi^a_t = 0$ for any $a \geq 2$. It follows that for $C^{\mathbb{H}_n}_a = 0$ for $a \geq 1$.

Remark 4.1. This result also follows immediately from [4, Théorèm 1], which says $p(t, x, x) = t^{-n-1}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{2\tau}{\sinh 2\tau})^n d\tau$.

4.2. The CR sphere

Let $S^{2n+1} = \{(z^1, \dots, z^{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}; \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}|z^\alpha|^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the $(2n+1)$-dimensional unit sphere which we regard as a submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. S^{2n+1} has a CR structure

$$\begin{aligned}(T_{1,0})_z &= (T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^{n+1})_z \cap \mathbb{C}T_z S^{2n+1} \\ &= \left\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} v^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha}; \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} v^\alpha \overline{z^\alpha} = 0\right\}, \quad z = (z^1, \dots, z^{n+1}) \in S^{2n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

We can take

$$\theta = \sqrt{-1}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}(-\overline{z^\alpha}dz^\alpha + z^\alpha \overline{z^\alpha}),$$

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\Big(z^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} - \overline{z^\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z^\alpha}}\Big)$$

and then the Levi form is

$$L_\theta = 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} dz^\alpha \wedge d\overline{z^\alpha},$$

which is positive definite, thus S^{2n+1} is strictly pseudoconvex.

Set

$$T_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha} - \overline{z^\alpha}\sum_{\beta=1}^{n+1} z^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta} \in T_{1,0}$$

and $T_{\overline{\alpha}} = \overline{T_\alpha}$, then we have

$$L_\theta(T_\alpha, T_{\overline{\beta}}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \overline{z^\alpha}z^\beta.$$

In the open subset $U = \{z; z^{n+1} \neq 0\}$, if we set

$$Z_\alpha = T_\alpha - \frac{\overline{z^\alpha} z^{n+1}}{|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)} T_{n+1}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$

then $\{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ is a local orthonormal frame for $T_{1,0}$ on U. A direct calculation shows that for $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[Z_\alpha, Z_\beta] &= \frac{1}{1+|z^{n+1}|}(-\overline{z^\beta} Z_\alpha + \overline{z^\alpha} Z_\beta), \\
[Z_\alpha, Z_{\overline{\beta}}] &= \Big(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{\overline{z^\alpha} z^\beta}{2|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big) \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} (z^\gamma Z_\gamma + \overline{z^\gamma} Z_{\overline{\gamma}}) - 2\sqrt{-1}\,\delta_{\alpha\beta} T, \\
[T, Z_\alpha] &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} Z_\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By rewriting these equalities in terms of $\widehat{X}_i$, we have the structure functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{-\delta_{\alpha\gamma} x^\beta + \delta_{\beta\gamma} x^\alpha}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{(x^\alpha y^\beta - x^\beta y^\alpha) y^\gamma}{|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big), \\
C^{n+\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{-\delta_{\alpha\gamma} y^\beta + \delta_{\beta\gamma} y^\alpha}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{(x^\alpha y^\beta - x^\beta y^\alpha) x^\gamma}{|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big), \\
C^\gamma_{n+\alpha,n+\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\gamma} x^\beta - \delta_{\beta\gamma} x^\alpha}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{(x^\alpha y^\beta - x^\beta y^\alpha) y^\gamma}{|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big), \\
C^{n+\gamma}_{n+\alpha,n+\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\gamma} y^\beta - \delta_{\beta\gamma} y^\alpha}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{(x^\alpha y^\beta - x^\beta y^\alpha) x^\gamma}{|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big), \\
C^\gamma_{\alpha,n+\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\gamma} y^\beta - \delta_{\beta\gamma} y^\alpha + 2\delta_{\alpha\beta} y^\gamma}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{(x^\alpha x^\beta + y^\alpha y^\beta) y^\gamma}{|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big), \\
C^{n+\gamma}_{\alpha,n+\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{-\delta_{\alpha\gamma} x^\beta + \delta_{\beta\gamma} x^\alpha + 2\delta_{\alpha\beta} x^\gamma}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{(x^\alpha x^\beta + y^\alpha y^\beta) x^\gamma}{|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

$$C^{2n+1}_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \qquad C^{2n+1}_{n+\alpha,n+\beta} = 0, \qquad C^{2n+1}_{\alpha,n+\beta} = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$C^\beta_{2n+1,\alpha} = 0, \qquad C^{n+\beta}_{2n+1,\alpha} = \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{2}, \qquad C^\beta_{2n+1,n+\alpha} = -\frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{2}, \qquad C^{n+\beta}_{2n+1,n+\alpha} = 0,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $x^\alpha = \mathrm{Re} z^\alpha$, $y^\alpha = \mathrm{Im} z^\alpha$.

We can also calculate Christoffel symbols, that is, by comparing (4.2) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^\gamma_{\overline{\beta}\alpha} &= \Big(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{\overline{z^\alpha} z^\beta}{2|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big) z^\gamma, \\
\Gamma^{\overline{\gamma}}_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} &= \Big(\frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{\overline{z^\alpha} z^\beta}{2|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big) \overline{z^\gamma}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, we have

$$\Gamma^\alpha_{\overline{\beta}\beta} = \Big(\frac{1}{1+|z^{n+1}|} + \frac{|z^\beta|^2}{2|z^{n+1}|(1+|z^{n+1}|)^2}\Big) z^\alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now let $x = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in S^{2n+1}$ and we consider the Folland-Stein normal coordinate $\{u^j\}_{j=1,\dots,2n+1}$ around x. Theorem 2.2 and the calculation of C^i_{jk}'s above show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{X}_\alpha &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} - u^{n+\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}} + \frac{1}{12}\sum_{j=1}^{2n}(u^j)^2\frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}u^{2n+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n+\alpha}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\Big(\frac{1}{12}u^\alpha u^j + O^3\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} + \Big(\frac{1}{12}u^\alpha u^{2n+1} - \frac{1}{8}u^{n+\alpha}\sum_{j=1}^{2n}(u^j)^2 + O^4\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}, \\
\widehat{X}_{n+\alpha} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n+\alpha}} - u^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}} - \frac{1}{4}u^{2n+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} + \frac{1}{12}\sum_{j=1}^{2n}(u^j)^2\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n+\alpha}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\Big(\frac{1}{12}u^{n+\alpha}u^j + O^3\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} + \Big(\frac{1}{12}u^{n+\alpha}u^{2n+1} + \frac{1}{8}u^\alpha\sum_{j=1}^{2n}(u^j)^2 + O^4\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{2n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also note that $\Gamma^\alpha_{\overline{\beta}\beta}(0) = \Gamma^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\beta\overline{\beta}}(0) = 0$. Then we have

$$\varphi^{2,i}_t = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, 2n+1.$$

From this, Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.16 show that

$$c_1^{S^{2n+1}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1}\mathbb{E}[\partial^i\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\varphi^{3,i}_1].$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{3,\alpha}_t &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\Big(\frac{1}{6}B^{(j,j,\alpha)}_t - \frac{1}{12}B^{(j,\alpha,j)}_t - \frac{1}{12}B^{(\alpha,j,j)}_t\Big) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n}\Big(\frac{1}{4}B^{(n+\beta,\beta,n+\alpha)}_t - \frac{1}{4}B^{(\beta,n+\beta,n+\alpha)}_t\Big) - \frac{n}{2}B^{(\alpha,0)}_t, \\
\varphi^{3,n+\alpha}_t &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n}\Big(\frac{1}{6}B^{(j,j,\alpha)}_t - \frac{1}{12}B^{(j,\alpha,j)}_t - \frac{1}{12}B^{(\alpha,j,j)}_t\Big) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n}\Big(-\frac{1}{4}B^{(n+\beta,\beta,\alpha)}_t + \frac{1}{4}B^{(\beta,n+\beta,\alpha)}_t\Big) - \frac{n}{2}B^{(\alpha,0)}_t, \\
\varphi^{3,2n+1}_t &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{2n}\Big(\frac{5}{12}B^{(j,j,\alpha,n+\alpha)}_t - \frac{5}{12}B^{(j,j,n+\alpha,\alpha)}_t + \frac{1}{6}B^{(j,\alpha,j,n+\alpha)}_t - \frac{1}{6}B^{(j,n+\alpha,j,\alpha)}_t \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{12}B^{(n+\alpha,j,\alpha,j)}_t + \frac{1}{12}B^{(\alpha,j,n+\alpha,j)}_t - \frac{1}{12}B^{(j,n+\alpha,\alpha,j)}_t + \frac{1}{12}B^{(j,\alpha,n+\alpha,j)}_t\Big) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\Big(-\frac{n}{2}B^{(\alpha,0,n+\alpha)}_t + \frac{n}{2}B^{(n+\alpha,0,\alpha)}_t\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us describe $c_1^{S^{2n+1}}(x)$ more specifically using modified derivative operator.

Let $W^{2n} = \{w = (w^1, \dots, w^{2n})\colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}; \text{continuous}, w(0) = 0\}$ be the $2n$-dimensional Wiener space and our Brownian motion is regarded as $B^i(w) = w^i$, $w \in W^{2n}$. Let H be the Cameron-Martin subspace of W^{2n} and $\nabla\colon \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(H \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $\nabla^*\colon \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(H \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be the derivation of generalized Wiener functionals and its dual respectively. The inner product in H is denoted by $\langle , \rangle$.

Set $S_t = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n S_t^\alpha$, then $\mathbb{X}_1 = (B_1^1, \dots, B_1^{2n}, S_1)$ yields $\nabla \mathbb{X}_1 = (B_1^1, \dots, B_1^{2n}, \nabla S_1)$, thus for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$,

$$\langle \nabla \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1), B_1^i \rangle = \partial^i \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1) + \partial^{2n+1}\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\langle \nabla S_1, B_1^i \rangle.$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\partial^i \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\Psi] = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\nabla^*(\Psi B_1^i) - \partial^{2n+1}\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\langle S_1, B_1^i\rangle \Psi].$$

Define $\widehat{\nabla}\colon \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(H \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ by $\widehat{\nabla} F = \nabla F - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \langle \nabla F, B_1^i \rangle B_1^i$. Then we have $\widehat{\nabla}(\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)) = \partial^{2n+1}\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\widehat{\nabla} S_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\partial^{2n+1}\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\Psi] &= \mathbb{E}[\langle \widehat{\nabla}(\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)), \widehat{\nabla} S_1\rangle \|\widehat{\nabla} S_1\|^{-2}\Psi] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\nabla^*(\|\widehat{\nabla} S_1\|^{-2}\widehat{\nabla} S_1 \Psi)].\end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\nabla} S_1^\alpha(t) &= -2\int_0^t B_s^{n+\alpha} ds + 2t \int_0^1 B_s^{n+\alpha} ds, \\ \widehat{\nabla} S_1^{n+\alpha}(t) &= 2\int_0^t B_s^\alpha ds - 2t \int_0^1 B_s^\alpha ds\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. Combining these and performing a direct but lengthy calculation, we can write the coefficient $c_1^{S^{2n+1}}(x)$ as follows:

Proposition 4.3. *For $x = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in S^{2n+1}$, we have $c_1^{S^{2n+1}}(x) = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\Phi]$, where*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi = {} & \frac{1}{6}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \Phi^i_{jji} - \frac{1}{12}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \Phi^i_{jij} - \frac{1}{12}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \Phi^i_{ijj} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \Phi^\alpha_{n+\beta,\beta,n+\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \Phi^\alpha_{\beta,n+\beta,n+\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \Phi^{n+\alpha}_{n+\beta,\beta,\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \Phi^{n+\alpha}_{\beta,n+\beta,\alpha} \\ & - \frac{n}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \Phi^i_{i,2n+1} - \frac{n}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} (\Phi^{2n+1}_{\alpha,2n+1,n+\alpha} - \Phi^{2n+1}_{n+\alpha,2n+1,\alpha}) \\ & + \frac{5}{12}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{2n} (\Phi^{2n+1}_{j,j,\alpha,n+\alpha} - \Phi^{2n+1}_{j,j,n+\alpha,\alpha}) + \frac{1}{6}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{2n} (\Phi^{2n+1}_{j,\alpha,j,n+\alpha} - \Phi^{2n+1}_{j,n+\alpha,j,\alpha}) \\ & + \frac{1}{6}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{2n} (\Phi^{2n+1}_{\alpha,j,j,n+\alpha} - \Phi^{2n+1}_{n+\alpha,j,j,\alpha}) + \frac{1}{12}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{2n} (\Phi^{2n+1}_{\alpha,j,n+\alpha,j} - \Phi^{2n+1}_{n+\alpha,j,\alpha,j})\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{2n}(\Phi^{2n+1}_{j,\alpha,n+\alpha,j}-\Phi^{2n+1}_{j,n+\alpha,\alpha,j})-\frac{1}{6}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{2n}(\Phi^{2n+1}_{\alpha,n+\alpha,j,j}-\Phi^{2n+1}_{n+\alpha,\alpha,j,j}),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\partial^i\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)B_1^J]=\mathbb{E}[\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1)\Phi^i_J],\quad i=1,\dots,2n+1, J=(j_1,\dots,j_a), j_b=0,\dots,2n.$$

Moreover, for $i,j,k,l=1,\dots,2n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^i_{jkl}&=-\delta_{ij}B_1^{(0,k,l)}-\delta_{ik}B_1^{(j,0,l)}-\delta_{il}B_1^{(j,k,0)}+(\kappa_1^i\kappa_4+\kappa_2^i\kappa_3)B_1^{(j,k,l)}\\
&\quad-2\kappa_1^i\kappa_3\bigg(\sigma(j)B_1^{(\sigma(j)n+j,0,k,l)}+\sigma(k)\int_0^1\int_0^s B_u^jB_u^{\sigma(k)n+k}du\circ dB_s^l\\
&\qquad+\sigma(l)\int_0^1 B_s^{(j,k)}B_s^{\sigma(l)n+l}ds\bigg),\\
\Phi^i_{i,0}&=(\kappa_1^i\kappa_4+\kappa_2^i\kappa_3)B_1^{(i,0)}-2\sigma(i)\kappa_1^i\kappa_3B_1^{(\sigma(i)n+i,0)},\\
\Phi^{2n+1}_{ijkl}&=-\kappa_4B_1^{(i,j,k,l)}\\
&\quad+2\kappa_3\bigg(\sigma(i)B_1^{(\sigma(i)n+i,0,j,k,l)}+\sigma(j)\int_0^1\int_0^s\int_0^u B_v^iB_v^{\sigma(j)n+j}dv\circ dB_v^k\circ dB_s^l\\
&\qquad+\sigma(k)\int_0^1\int_0^s B_u^{(i,j)}B_u^{\sigma(k)n+k}du\circ dB_s^l+\sigma(l)\int_0^1 B_s^{(i,j,k)}B_s^{\sigma(l)n+l}ds\bigg),\\
\Phi^{2n+1}_{i,0,j}&=-\kappa_4B_1^{(i,0,j)}+2\kappa_3\bigg(\sigma(i)B_1^{(\sigma(i)n+i,0,0,j)}+\sigma(j)\int_0^1 B_s^{(i,0)}B_s^{\sigma(j)n+j}ds\bigg),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma(i)=\begin{cases}1, & i=1,\dots,n,\\ -1, & i=n+1,\dots,2n,\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_1^i&=-2\sigma(i)B_1^{(\sigma(i)n+i,0)},\\
\kappa_2^i&=2B_1^{(i,0)}-4B_1^{(i,0,0)},\\
\kappa_3&=\bigg(4\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\bigg(\int_0^1(B_t^i)^2dt-(B_1^{(i,0)})^2\bigg)\bigg)^{-1},\\
\kappa_4&=-8(\kappa_3)^2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\bigg(-2\int_0^1 B_t^{(n+\alpha,0)}B_t^\alpha dt+2\int_0^1 B_t^{(\alpha,0)}B_t^{n+\alpha}dt\bigg)\\
&\quad+2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}(B_1^{(\alpha,0)}B_1^{(n+\alpha,0,0)}-B_1^{(n+\alpha,0)}B_1^{(\alpha,0,0)}).
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Ben Arous, G., Développement asymptotique du noyau de la chaleur hypoelliptique sur la diagonale, Annales de l'institut Fourier, **39** (1989), 73–99.

[2] Dragomir, S. and Tomassini, G., Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds, Boston-Basel-Berlin, Birkhäuser, 2006.

[3] Folland, G.B. and Stein E.M., Estimates for the $\overline{\partial}_b$ complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (1974), 429–522.

[4] Gaveau, B., Principle de moindre action, propagation de la cheleur et estimees sous elliptiques sur certains groups nilpotents, Acta Math. **139** (1977), 95–153.

[5] Ikeda, N. and Watanabe, S., Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, 2nd ed., North-Holland/Kodansha, Amsterdam/Tokyo, 1989.

[6] Kondo, H. and Taniguchi, S., A construction of diffusion processes associated with sub-Laplacian on CR manifolds and its applications, arXiv:1410.2925[math.PR], 2014.

[7] Kusuoka, S. and Stroock, D., Applications of the Malliavin calculus, Part II, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A Math. **32** (1985), 1–76.

[8] Takanobu, S., Diagonal short time asymptotics of heat kernels for certain degenerate second order differential operators of Hörmander type, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **24** (1988), 169–203.

[9] Tanaka, N., A differential geometric study on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds, Kinokuniya Book Store Co., Ltd., Kyoto, 1975.

[10] Webster, S.M., Pseudo-hermitian structure on a real hypersurface, J. Differ. Geo. **13** (1978), 25–41.